

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/516,655	03/01/2000	Thomas C. Thompson		3209
75	90 02/27/2003			
Thomas C Thompson			EXAMINER	
92-543 Kokole Makakilo, HI			GARCIA, ERNESTO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/516,655	THOMPSON, THOMAS C.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ernesto Garcia	3679		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 12 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
b) [The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension
fee have fee unde (2) as se	erisions of time may be obtained under 37 CPR 1.136(a). The date of which the peritor under 37 CPR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension erision is been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension er 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or est forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if ed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
3.⊠ <i>A</i>	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>112(2) paragraph</u> .
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)⊡ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
•	The status of the claim(s) is as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) \square approved or b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
9. 🗌	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
10.🛛	Other: See PTO-90C LYMNE H. BROWNE SUPERVISORY FATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3620

Continuation of 2. NOTE: a: The new limitations "generally, unequal, acute bends in opposite directions" in line 3 of claim 5, and "providing a straddling means" in line 3 of claim 13, and "to the top of a roof by said roof plate, and said rafter tabs, said top web, said base web, and said shatings tabs having attachment to a rafter, frieze board, top plate, exterior wall, and said roof plate respective!" in lines 2-5 of claim 14 require further consideration and search.